arXiv:0807.2207v1 [math.GR] 14 Jul 2008

International Journal of Modern Mathematics, 3(2008), no. 2, 197–206.

On Sun's Conjecture concerning Disjoint Cosets

Wan-Jie Zhu

Abstract

In 2004, Zhi-Wei Sun posed the following conjecture: If $a_1G_1, \dots, a_kG_k$ $(k > 1)$ are finitely many pairwise disjoint left cosets in a group G with all the indices $[G : G_i]$ finite, then for some $1 \le i < j \le k$, the greatest common divisor of $[G : G_i]$ and $[G : G_j]$ is at least k. In this paper, we confirm Sun's conjecture for $k = 3, 4$.

Keywords: Disjoint cosets, Sun's conjecture.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D60, 05E99, 11B75, 20F99.

1 Introduction

Let H be any subgroup of a (multiplicative) group G. A left coset of H has the form $aH = \{ah : h \in H\}$ with $a \in G$. $[G : H]$, the index of H in G, is the cardinality of the set $G/H = \{aH : a \in G\}$. If $k = [G : H] < \infty$, then we can partition G into k distinct left cosets of H in G.

In 2004, Zhi-Wei Sun proposed the following conjecture on disjoint cosets.

Sun's Conjecture ([4, Conjecture 1.2]). *Let $a_1G_1, \dots, a_kG_k$ $(k > 1)$ be finitely many pairwise disjoint left cosets in a group G with $[G : G_i] < \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then, for some $1 \le i < j \le k$, the greatest common divisor $([G : G_i], [G : G_j])$ of $[G : G_i]$ and $[G : G_j]$ is at least k.*

By [4, Remark 2.2] and [4, Remark 1.5(b)], Sun's conjecture holds when $k = 2$ or G is a p-group with p a prime. K. O'Bryant [1] proved Sun's conjecture in the special case $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \le 20$.

In this paper we confirm Sun's conjecture for $k \in \{3, 4\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a_1G_1, \dots, a_kG_k$ $(k \in \{3, 4\})$ be pairwise disjoint left cosets in a group G with $[G : G_i] < \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) \ge k$ for some $1 \le i < j \le k$.*

2 The case $k = 3$

Lemma 2.1. *Let H and K be two subgroups of a group G.*

(i) ([2, p. 41]) *HK is a subgroup of G if and only if $HK = KH$.*

(ii) ([5, Chapter 1]) *HK contains exactly $[H : H \cap K]$ left cosets of K.*

(iii) ([4, Lemma 2.1]) *If $[G : H]$ and $[G : K]$ are finite and relatively prime, then HK coincides with G.*

(iv) ([3, Lemma 2.1(i)]) *$HK = G$ if and only if $xH \cap yK \neq \emptyset$ for all $x, y \in G$.*

(v) *Suppose that $HK = KH$ and $xH \cap yK = \emptyset$, where $x, y \in G$. Then $xHK \cap yHK = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Parts (i)-(iv) are known. So we just prove part (v). Assume that $xHK \cap yHK \neq \emptyset$. Then $x^{-1}y \in HK$ and hence $x^{-1}y = hk$ for some $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. It follows that $xh = yk^{-1} \in xH \cap yK$, which contradicts the condition $xH \cap yK = \emptyset$. We are done.

Theorem 2.2. *Let a_1G_1, a_2G_2 and a_3G_3 be pairwise disjoint left cosets in a group G with $[G : G_i] < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) \geq 3$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose that we don't have the desired result. Then, whenever $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, we have $([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) \leq 2$ and hence $([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) = 2$ (otherwise $a_iG_i \cap a_jG_j \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1(ii)-(iv)).

Write $[G : G_i] = 2q_i$ with $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. Fix $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. As $([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) = 2$, q_i is relatively prime to q_j. Since $a_iG_i \cap a_jG_j = \emptyset$, $G_iG_j \neq G$ by Lemma 2.1(iv), and hence $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j] < [G : G_j] = 2q_j$ by Lemma 2.1(ii). As both $2q_i = [G : G_i]$ and $2q_j = [G : G_j]$ divide $[G : G_i \cap G_j]$, $2q_iq_j$ divides $[G : G_i \cap G_j] = [G : G_i][G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$ and hence $q_j \mid [G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$. Therefore $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j] = q_j$.

Let $k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\}$. As the above, we also have $[G_i : G_i \cap G_k] = q_k$. Since

$$([G_i : G_i \cap G_j], [G_i : G_i \cap G_k]) = (q_j, q_k) = 1,$$

applying Lemma 2.1(iii) we find that

$$G_i = (G_i \cap G_j)(G_i \cap G_k) = (G_i \cap G_k)(G_i \cap G_j).$$

Similarly,

$$G_j = (G_i \cap G_j)(G_j \cap G_k) = (G_j \cap G_k)(G_i \cap G_j)$$

and

$$G_k = (G_i \cap G_k)(G_j \cap G_k) = (G_j \cap G_k)(G_i \cap G_k).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G_iG_j =&(G_i \cap G_k)(G_i \cap G_j)(G_i \cap G_j)(G_j \cap G_k) \\ =&(G_i \cap G_k)(G_i \cap G_j)(G_j \cap G_k). \end{aligned}$$

Since any two of the subgroups $G_i \cap G_j,\ G_i \cap G_k,\ G_j \cap G_k$ are commutable, G_iG_j coincides with $H = (G_1 \cap G_2)(G_1 \cap G_3)(G_2 \cap G_3)$, which is a subgroup of G.

As $a_iG_i \cap a_jG_j = \emptyset$, by Lemma 2.1(v) we have $a_iG_iG_j \cap a_jG_iG_j = \emptyset$, i.e., $a_iH \cap a_jH = \emptyset$. Note that

$$[G : H] = [G : G_iG_j] = \frac{[G : G_j]}{[G_iG_j : G_j]} = \frac{[G : G_j]}{[G_i : G_i \cap G_j]} = \frac{2q_j}{q_j} = 2.$$

On the other hand, a_1H, a_2H and a_3H are pairwise disjoint. So we get a contradiction.

3 The case $k = 4$

In this section, we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* a_1G_1, a_2G_2, a_3G_3 *and* a_4G_4 *be left cosets in a group* G *with* $[G : G_i] < \infty$ *for* $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. *Then* $([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) \geq 4$ *for some* $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ *be* k *subgroups of a group* G. *Then there is a bijection from*

$$S = \{(C_1, \ldots, C_k) \in G/H_1 \times \cdots \times G/H_k : \ C_1 \cap \cdots \cap C_k \neq \emptyset\}$$

to $G/\bigcap_{i=1}^k H_i$.

Proof. For $(C_1, \ldots, C_k) \in S$. Let $\sigma(C_1, \ldots, C_k) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k C_i$. For $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k C_i$, we have $C_i = xH_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^k C_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^k xH_i = xH$ where $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^k H_i$. Note also that $xH = \bigcap_{i=1}^k xH_i = \sigma(xH_1, \ldots, xH_k)$ for any $x \in G$. So σ is surjective. If $(x_1H_1, \ldots, x_kH_k)$, $(y_1H_1, \ldots, y_kH_k) \in S$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^k x_iH_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^k y_iH_i$, then for $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k x_iH_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^k y_iH_i$, we have $x_iH_i = zH_i = y_iH_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Thus σ is also injective and hence it is a bijection form S to G/H.

Remark 3.1. Let G_1 and G_2 be subgroups of a group G, and let H_1 and H_2 be subgroups of G_1 and G_2 with finite index, respectively, satisfying $H_1 \cap H_2 = G_1 \cap G_2$. In view of Lemma 3.2, there exists a bijection

$$\sigma : S = \{(C_1, C_2) \in G/G_1 \times G/G_2 : \ C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset\} \to G/(H_1 \cap H_2)$$

Suppose aH_1 and $\tilde{a}H_1$ are distinct left cosets of H_1 contained in a left coset of G_1. Then

$$\sigma(aG_1, \tilde{a}G_2) = aG_1 \cap \tilde{a}G_2 = \tilde{a}G_1 \cap \tilde{a}G_2 = \tilde{a}(H_1 \cap H_2).$$

Thus

$$aH_1 \cap \tilde{a}H_2 \subseteq aG_1 \cap \tilde{a}G_2 = \tilde{a}(G_1 \cap G_2) = \tilde{a}(H_1 \cap H_2) \subseteq \tilde{a}H_1.$$

But $aH_1 \cap \tilde{a}H_1 = \emptyset$, so $aH_1 \cap \tilde{a}H_2 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* H *and* K *be subgroups of a group* G. *Then*

$$[K : H \cap K] = |\{C \in G/H : \ K \cap C \neq \emptyset\}|.$$

Proof. Let $g \in G$. If $gh = k$ with $h \in H$ and $k \in K$, then $gH = kh^{-1}H = kH \subseteq KH$. If $gH \subseteq KH$, then we can write $g = kh^{-1}$ with $h \in H$ and $k \in K$, and hence $gh = k \in gH \cap K$. So $gH \cap K \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $gH \subseteq KH$.

In view of Lemma 2.1(ii) and the above, we have

$$[K : H \cap K] = |\{gH :\ g \in G \ \&\ gH \subseteq KH\}| = |\{C \in G/H :\ C \cap K \neq \emptyset\}|.$$

This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the desired result is false. We want to deduce a contradiction. For any $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $i \neq j$, clearly $d_{ij} = ([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) \in \{2, 3\}$, since $a_iG_i \cap a_jG_j = \emptyset$. Let i, j and k be three distinct elements of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. As d_{ij}, d_{ik} and $d_{jk} \in \{2, 3\}$, two of d_{ij}, d_{ik}, d_{jk} are equal, say, $d_{ij} = d_{ik} = d \in \{2, 3\}$. As d divides both $[G : G_j]$ and $[G : G_k]$, we have $d \mid d_{jk}$. Note that $d_{jk} \leq 3 < 2d$ and hence $d_{jk} = d$. Since a_iG_i, a_jG_j and a_kG_k are pairwise disjoint, $\max\{d_{ij}, d_{ik}, d_{jk}\} \geq 3$ by Theorem 2.1, and thus $d_{ij} = d_{ik} = d_{jk} = d = 3$.

Write $[G : G_i] = 3q_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 are pairwise coprime. Let $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. As $[G : G_i \cap G_j]$ is divisible by $[G : G_i] = 3q_i$ and $[G : G_j] = 3q_j$, we may write $[G : G_i \cap G_j] = 3q_iq_jr_{ij}$ with $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. As $a_iG_i \cap a_jG_j = \emptyset$, we have $G_iG_j \neq G$ by Lemma 2.1(iv) and hence

$$[G_i : G_i \cap G_j] = |\{gG_j :\ g \in G \ \&\ gG_j \subseteq G_iG_j\}| < [G : G_j]$$

by Lemma 2.1(ii). Thus $[G : G_i \cap G_j] < [G : G_i][G : G_j]$. So $3q_iq_jr_{ij} < 3q_i3q_j$ and hence $r_{ij} \leq 2$.

Let $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4$. We may write $[G : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k] = 3q_iq_jq_kr_{ijk}$ with $r_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &[G_i \cap G_j : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k] \\ =&|\{gG_k :\ g \in G \ \&\ gG_k \subseteq (G_i \cap G_j)G_k\}| \\ \leq&|\{gG_k :\ g \in G \ \&\ gG_k \subseteq G_iG_k\}| \\ =&[G_i : G_i \cap G_k] \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\frac{[G : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k]}{[G : G_i \cap G_j]} \leq \frac{[G : G_i \cap G_k]}{[G : G_i]}.$$

In other words,

$$\frac{3q_iq_jq_kr_{ijk}}{3q_iq_jr_{ij}} \leq \frac{3q_iq_kr_{ik}}{3q_i},$$

i.e.,

$$r_{ijk} \leq r_{ij}r_{ik}. \tag{3.1}$$

It follows that $r_{ijk} \le r_{ij}r_{ik} \le 2 \times 2 = 4$. (3.1) is useful and it was suggested by Prof. Zhi-Wei Sun.

Let $1 \le i < j < k \le 4$. Set $S = G/G_i \times G/G_j \times G/G_k$, and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij} &= \{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S :\ C_i \cap C_j \ne \emptyset\},\\ S_{ik} &= \{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S :\ C_i \cap C_k \ne \emptyset\},\\ S_{jk} &= \{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S :\ C_j \cap C_k \ne \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $|S| = [G : G_i][G : G_j][G : G_k] = 3q_i 3q_j 3q_k = 27q_i q_j q_k$.
Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{ij}| =&|\{(C_i, C_j) \in G/G_i \times G/G_j :\ C_i \cap C_j \ne \emptyset\}| \times |G/G_k|\\ =&[G : G_i \cap G_j][G : G_k] = 9q_i q_j q_k r_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $|S_{ik}| = 9q_i q_j q_k r_{ik}$ and $|S_{jk}| = 9q_i q_j q_k r_{jk}$.

Clearly

$$|S_{ij} \cap S_{ik}| = |\{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S :\ C_i \cap C_j \ne \emptyset \ \&\ C_i \cap C_k \ne \emptyset\}|$$

Given $C_i \in G/G_i$ and $C_j \in G/G_j$ with $C_i \cap C_j$ containing some $x \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{C_k \in G/G_k :\ C_k \cap C_i \ne \emptyset\}|\\ =&|\{xC_k :\ C_k \in G/G_k \ \&\ xC_k \cap C_i \ne \emptyset\}|\\ =&|\{xC_k :\ C_k \in G/G_k \ \&\ xC_k \cap xG_i \ne \emptyset\}|\\ =&|\{xC_k :\ C_k \in G/G_k \ \&\ C_k \cap G_i \ne \emptyset\}|\\ =&|\{C_k \in G/G_k :\ C_k \cap G_i \ne \emptyset\}|\\ =&[G_i : G_i \cap G_k] \ \text{(by Lemma 3.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{ij} \cap S_{ik}| =&|\{(C_i, C_j) \in G/G_i \times G/G_j : C_i \cap C_j \ne \emptyset\}|[G_i : G_i \cap G_k]\\ =&\frac{[G : G_i \cap G_j][G : G_i \cap G_k]}{[G : G_i]} = 3q_i q_j q_k r_{ij} r_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $|S_{ij} \cap S_{jk}| = 3q_i q_j q_k r_{ij} r_{jk}$ and $|S_{ik} \cap S_{jk}| = 3q_i q_j q_k r_{ik} r_{jk}$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &|S_{ij} \cap S_{ik} \cap S_{jk}|\\ =&|\{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S :\ C_i \cap C_j \ne \emptyset,\ C_i \cap C_k \ne \emptyset,\ C_j \cap C_k \ne \emptyset\}|\\ \ge&|\{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S :\ C_i \cap C_j \cap C_k \ne \emptyset\}|\\ =&[G : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k] = 3q_i q_j q_k r_{ijk} \ \text{(by Lemma 3.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{ijk} =& |\{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S : \ C_i, C_j, C_k \text{ are pairwise disjoint}\}| \\
=& |\{(C_i, C_j, C_k) \in S : \ (C_i, C_j, C_k) \notin S_{ij} \cup S_{ik} \cup S_{jk}\}| \\
=& |S| - |S_{ij} \cup S_{jk} \cup S_{jk}| \\
=& |S| - (|S_{ij}| + |S_{ik}| + |S_{jk}|) + (|S_{ij} \cap S_{ik}| + |S_{ij} \cap S_{jk}| + |S_{ik} \cap S_{jk}|) \\
& - |S_{ij} \cap S_{ik} \cap S_{jk}| \\
\le& 27 q_i q_j q_k - 9 q_i q_j q_k (r_{ij} + r_{ik} + r_{jk}) + 3 q_i q_j q_k (r_{ij} r_{ik} + r_{ij} r_{jk} + r_{ik} r_{jk}) \\
& - 3 q_i q_j q_k r_{ijk}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_i G_i, a_j G_j, a_k G_k$ are pairwise disjoint, $N_{ijk} > 0$ and hence

$$27 q_i q_j q_k - 9 q_i q_j q_k (r_{ij} + r_{ik} + r_{jk}) + 3 q_i q_j q_k (r_{ij} r_{ik} + r_{ij} r_{jk} + r_{ik} r_{jk}) - 3 q_i q_j q_k r_{ijk} > 0.$$

Thus

$$r_{ijk} < 9 - 3(r_{ij} + r_{ik} + r_{jk}) + (r_{ij} r_{ik} + r_{ij} r_{jk} + r_{ik} r_{jk}). \tag{3.2}$$

When $\{r_{ij}, r_{ik}, r_{jk}\} = \{1, 2, r\}$, (3.2) gives

$$r_{ijk} < 9 - 3(1 + 2 + r) + (1 \times 2 + 1 \times r + 2 \times r) = 2.$$

So we have

$$\{r_{ij}, r_{ik}, r_{jk}\} = \{1, 2, r\} \Rightarrow r_{ijk} = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

If $r_{ij} = r_{ik} = r_{jk} = r$, then (3.2) yields that

$$r_{ijk} < 9 - 3 \times 3r + 3r^2 = 3(r^2 - 3r + 3) = 3((r-1)(r-2) + 1) = 3.$$

So we always have $r_{ijk} \le 2$.

Since $[G : G_i \cap G_j] \mid [G : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k]$, $3 q_i q_j r_{ij}$ divides $3 q_i q_j q_k r_{ijk}$ and hence

$$r_{ij} \mid q_k r_{ijk}. \tag{3.4}$$

If $2 \nmid q_k$, then $r_{ij} \mid r_{ijk}$, since $(q_k, r_{ij}) = 1$. Suppose q_i, q_j, q_k are all odd, we then have

$$r_{ij} = r_{ik} = r_{jk} = r_{ijk}.$$

In fact,

(a) if $r_{ij} = r_{ik} = r_{jk} = 1,$ then $r_{ijk} \le r_{ij} r_{ik} = 1;$

(b) if $r_{ij} = r_{ik} = r_{jk} = 2,$ then $r_{ijk} = 2$, since $r_{ijk} \le 2$ and $r_{ij} \mid r_{ijk};$

(c) if $\{r_{ij}, r_{ik}, r_{jk}\} = \{1, 2, r\},$ then $r_{ijk} = 1$ by (3.3) and also $2 \mid r_{ijk}$ by the above.

Since q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 are pairwise coprime, there are no two even numbers among q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4. Without loss of generality, we assume that q_1, q_2, q_3 are all odd. By the above, we have $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = r_{123} \in \{1, 2\}$.

Case 1. $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = r_{123} = 1$.

Let i, j, k be any permutation of $1, 2, 3$. Note that

$$[G_i : G_i \cap G_j] = \frac{[G : G_i \cap G_j]}{[G : G_i]} = \frac{3q_i q_j r_{ij}}{3q_i} = q_j r_{ij} = q_j.$$

Similarly, $[G_i : G_i \cap G_k] = q_k r_{ik} = q_k$ and $[G_i : G_i \cap G_4] = q_4 r_{i4}$. Since any two of $q_j, q_k, q_4 r_{i4}$ are coprime, no matter r_{i4} is 1 or 2, by Lemma 2.1(iii) we have

$$G_i = (G_i \cap G_j)(G_i \cap G_k) = (G_i \cap G_j)(G_i \cap G_4) = (G_i \cap G_k)(G_i \cap G_4). \qquad (3.5)$$

In view of (3.5),

$$G_1G_2 = G_2G_1 = G_1G_3 = G_3G_1 = G_2G_3 = G_3G_2 = (G_1 \cap G_2)(G_1 \cap G_3)(G_2 \cap G_3).$$

Denote this subgroup by $H = G_iG_j$ $(1 \le i < j \le 3)$. Then

$$[G : H] = [G : G_1G_2] = \frac{[G : G_2]}{[G_1 : G_1 \cap G_2]} = \frac{3q_2}{q_2} = 3.$$

As a_1G_1, a_2G_2, a_3G_3 are pairwise disjoint, so are a_1H, a_2H, a_3H by Lemma 2.1(v).

Suppose that $a_iH \cap a_4H \ne \emptyset$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $a_4^{-1}a_i \in H$. Take $j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i\}$ and note that

$$H = G_jG_i = (G_j \cap G_4)(G_j \cap G_i)G_i = (G_j \cap G_4)G_i.$$

So there are $g_i \in G_i$ and $g_4 \in G_j \cap G_4$ such that $a_4^{-1}a_i = g_4g_i$ and hence

$$a_ig_i^{-1} = a_4g_4 \in a_iG_i \cap a_4G_4,$$

contradicting the known condition $a_iG_i \cap a_4G_4 = \emptyset$.

By the above discussion, a_1H, a_2H, a_3H, a_4H are distinct left cosets of H, which contradicts $[G : H] = 3$.

Case 2. $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = r_{123} = 2$.

Suppose that r_{14}, r_{24}, r_{34} are not all equal, say, $r_{14} = 1$ and $r_{24} = 2$. Then q_4 must be even, otherwise we will get $1 = r_{14} = r_{12} = r_{24} = 2$ since q_1, q_2, q_4 are odd. We also have $r_{124} = 1$ by (3.2). With the help of (3.4), $r_{24} = 2$ divides $q_1r_{124} = q_1$. So we get a contradiction.

Let $1 \le i < j \le 3$. Recall that $r_{ij4} \le 2$. When $r_{14} = r_{24} = r_{34} = 1$, q_4 is even (otherwise q_1, q_2, q_4 are odd and hence $r_{14} = r_{24} = r_{12} = 2$) and also $r_{ij4} \le r_{i4}r_{j4} = 1$. By (3.4), r_{j4} divides q_ir_{ij4}. Since $2 \nmid q_i$, if $r_{14} = r_{24} = r_{34} = 2$ then $r_{j4} = 2 = r_{ij4}$.

By the above, $r_{14} = r_{24} = r_{34} = r_{ij4}$ for any $1 \le i < j \le 3$.

Fix $1 \le i < j \le 4$ and write $\{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \{i,j\} = \{k,l\}$. If $j \le 3$ then

$$[G_i \cap G_j : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k] = \frac{[G : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k]}{[G : G_i \cap G_j]}$$

divides

$$\frac{3q_iq_jq_k \times 2}{3q_iq_jr_{ij}} = q_k;$$

if $j = 4$ then we have

$$[G_i \cap G_j : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k] = \frac{3q_iq_kq_4r_{ik4}}{3q_iq_4r_{i4}} = q_k.$$

Since q_k and q_l are coprime, so are $[G_i \cap G_j : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k]$ and $[G_i \cap G_j : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_l]$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1(iii) we have

$$G_i \cap G_j = (G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k)(G_i \cap G_j \cap G_l).$$

Let $1 \le k \le 4$. For $i, j \in \{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \{k\}$ with $i \ne j$, it follows from the above that

$$(G_k \cap G_i)(G_k \cap G_j) = \prod_{\{s,t\} \subseteq \{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \{k\},\ s<t} (G_k \cap G_s \cap G_t) \tag{3.6}$$

Denote by H_k the subgroup of G_k given by (3.6). Choose $i, j \in \{1,2,3\} \setminus \{k\}$ with $i \ne j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[G : H_k] =& \frac{[G : G_k \cap G_j]}{[(G_k \cap G_i)(G_k \cap G_j) : G_k \cap G_j]} = \frac{[G : G_k \cap G_j]}{[G_k \cap G_i : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k]} \\
=& \frac{[G : G_i \cap G_k][G : G_j \cap G_k]}{[G : G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k]} = \frac{3q_iq_kr_{ik}3q_jq_kr_{jk}}{3q_iq_jq_kr_{ijk}} = 3q_k\frac{r_{ik}r_{jk}}{r_{ijk}} \\
=& \begin{cases} 3q_k & \text{if } k = 4 \ \&\ r_{14} = r_{24} = r_{34} = 1, \\ 6q_k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $k \ne 4$ we have

$$[G_k : H_k] = \frac{[G : H_k]}{[G : G_k]} = \frac{6q_k}{3q_k} = 2$$

and hence $a_kG_k \setminus a_kH_k = \tilde{a}_kH_k$ for some $\tilde{a}_k \in G$.

Let $1 \le i \ne j \le 4$. It is easy to see that

$$H_iH_j = (G_1 \cap G_2 \cap G_3)(G_1 \cap G_2 \cap G_4)(G_1 \cap G_3 \cap G_4)(G_2 \cap G_3 \cap G_4). \tag{3.7}$$

We denote this subgroup of G by H. Choose $k \in \{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \{i,j\}$. Then

$$H_i \cap H_j = (G_i \cap G_j)(G_i \cap G_k) \cap (G_i \cap G_j)(G_j \cap G_k) \supseteq G_i \cap G_j.$$

On the other hand, $H_i \cap H_j \subseteq G_i \cap G_j$ since $H_i \subseteq G_i$ and $H_j \subseteq G_j$. Therefore we have

$$H_i \cap H_j = G_i \cap G_j. \tag{3.8}$$

In the case $i \neq 4$, $a_i H_i \cap \tilde{a}_i H_j = \emptyset$ by Remark 3.1, and hence $a_i H_i H_j \cap \tilde{a}_i H_i H_j = \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1(v). So we have

$$i \in \{1,2,3\} \Rightarrow a_i H \cap \tilde{a}_i H = \emptyset. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $j \in \{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \{i\}$. As both $a_i H_i \cap a_j H_j$ and $\tilde{a}_i H_i \cap a_j H_j$ are contained in $a_i G_i \cap a_j G_j = \emptyset$, by Lemma 2.1(v) we have

$$a_i H \cap a_j H = a_i H_i H_j \cap a_j H_i H_j = \emptyset$$

and

$$\tilde{a}_i H \cap a_j H = \tilde{a}_i H_i H_j \cap a_j H_i H_j = \emptyset.$$

If $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, then

$$\tilde{a}_i H_i \cap \tilde{a}_j H_j \subseteq a_i G_i \cap a_j G_j = \emptyset$$

and hence $\tilde{a}_i H \cap \tilde{a}_j H = \emptyset$.

From the above we see that the following seven cosets

$$a_1 H,\ a_2 H,\ a_3 H,\ a_4 H,\ \tilde{a}_1 H,\ \tilde{a}_2 H,\ \tilde{a}_3 H$$

are pairwise disjoint. Therefore $[G:H] \geq 7$. On the other hand,

$$[G:H] = [G:H_1 H_2] = \frac{[G:H_2]}{[H_1 : H_1 \cap H_2]} = \frac{[G:H_1][G:H_2]}{[G:G_1 \cap G_2]} = \frac{6q_1 6q_2}{3q_1 q_2 r_{12}} = 6.$$

So we get a contradiction which ends the discussion in Case 2.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank her advisor Prof. Zhi-Wei Sun, who proposed the conjecture, for his many helpful comments.

References

[1] K. O'Bryant, *On Z.-W. Sun's disjoint congruence classes conjecture*, Integers **7**(2)(2001), #A30, 10pp. (electronic)

[2] J. S. Rose, *A Course on Group Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1978.

[3] Z. W. Sun, *Exact m-covers of groups by cosets*, European J. Combin. **22**(2001), 415–429.

[4] Z. W. Sun, *Finite covers of groups by cosets or subgroups*, Internat. J. Math. **17**(2006), 1047–1064.

[5] M. Suzki, *Group Theory I-II*, Springer, New York, 1982, 1986.

Wan-Jie Zhu: Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: `zhuwanjie1@163.com`